

# Ladder Operators for the Simple Harmonic Oscillator

**Preface.** Simple algebra shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x} &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \\ \hat{p} &= -i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger)\end{aligned}$$

## a. Matrix elements.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m|\hat{a}|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1} \\ \langle m|\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1} \\ \langle m|\hat{x}|n\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1}) \\ \langle m|\hat{p}|n\rangle &= -i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1} - \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1})\end{aligned}$$

[[*Question:* What's this about all the non-vanishing matrix elements of  $\hat{p}$  being imaginary? I thought that  $\hat{p}$  was Hermitian, and Hermiticity is associated with real matrix elements! *Answer:* Hermiticity requires that the diagonal matrix elements be real, not the off-diagonal. And the only non-vanishing matrix elements of  $\hat{p}$  are off-diagonal.]]

The next matrix elements could be obtained by expressing the relevant operators in terms of  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}^\dagger$ , but I prefer the following device:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle m | (\hat{a} \pm \hat{a}^\dagger) (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \langle m | (\hat{a} \pm \hat{a}^\dagger) | \ell \rangle \langle \ell | (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) \left( \sqrt{n} \delta_{\ell, n-1} + \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{\ell, n+1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \left[ \sqrt{n} \delta_{\ell, n-1} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) + \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{\ell, n+1} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) \right] \\
&= \sqrt{n} \left( \sqrt{n-1} \delta_{m, n-2} \pm \sqrt{n} \delta_{m, n} \right) + \sqrt{n+1} \left( \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{m, n} \pm \sqrt{n+2} \delta_{m, n+2} \right) \\
&= \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} + (n \pm n+1) \delta_{m, n} \pm \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2}
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle m | (\hat{a} \pm \hat{a}^\dagger) (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \langle m | (\hat{a} \pm \hat{a}^\dagger) | \ell \rangle \langle \ell | (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) \left( \sqrt{n} \delta_{\ell, n-1} - \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{\ell, n+1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \left[ \sqrt{n} \delta_{\ell, n-1} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) - \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{\ell, n+1} \left( \sqrt{\ell} \delta_{m, \ell-1} \pm \sqrt{\ell+1} \delta_{m, \ell+1} \right) \right] \\
&= \sqrt{n} \left( \sqrt{n-1} \delta_{m, n-2} \pm \sqrt{n} \delta_{m, n} \right) - \sqrt{n+1} \left( \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{m, n} \pm \sqrt{n+2} \delta_{m, n+2} \right) \\
&= \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} - (n \mp n+1) \delta_{m, n} \mp \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2}
\end{aligned}$$

From these two formulas, we can read off

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle m | \hat{x}^2 | n \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left[ \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} + (2n+1) \delta_{m, n} + \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2} \right] \\
\langle m | \hat{p}^2 | n \rangle &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[ \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} - (2n+1) \delta_{m, n} + \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2} \right] \\
\langle m | \hat{x}\hat{p} | n \rangle &= -i\frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} - \delta_{m, n} - \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2} \right] \\
\langle m | \hat{p}\hat{x} | n \rangle &= -i\frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{m, n-2} + \delta_{m, n} - \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{m, n+2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(Note: The fourth equation follows directly from the third because  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$  implies  $\langle m | \hat{x}\hat{p} | n \rangle = \langle m | \hat{p}\hat{x} | n \rangle + i\hbar \delta_{m, n}$ .)

Finally, because  $\hat{H}|n\rangle = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})|n\rangle$ ,

$$\langle m | \hat{H} | n \rangle = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) \delta_{m, n}.$$

**b. Kinetic and potential energy expectation values in an energy state.**

$$\langle \hat{T} \rangle = \langle n | \hat{T} | n \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \langle n | \hat{p}^2 | n \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2n+1) = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} (n + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \hat{T} + \hat{U}, \\ \langle \hat{H} \rangle &= \langle \hat{T} \rangle + \langle \hat{U} \rangle, \\ \langle \hat{U} \rangle &= \langle \hat{H} \rangle - \langle \hat{T} \rangle \\ &= \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(n + \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(n + \frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

**c. Indeterminacies for an energy state.**

In any energy state,  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle = 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta x)^2 &= \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (2n+1) \\ (\Delta p)^2 &= \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}} \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{2}} \\ \Delta p &= \sqrt{m\hbar\omega} \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{2}} \\ \Delta x \Delta p &= \hbar(n + \frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

So the SHO ground state is a minimum indeterminacy state.

[[Grading: 6 points for part **a**; 2 points for part **b**; 2 points for part **c**.]]